arXiv:hep-ph/0302213v3 2 Apr 2003

Kaluza-Klein Picture and Mass Spectrum of Two-Pion System

A.A. Arkhipov
State Research Center "Institute for High Energy Physics"
142280 Protvino, Moscow Region, Russia

Abstract

In this note we present additional arguments in favour of Kaluza and Klein picture of the world. In fact, we have shown that formula (10) provided by Kaluza-Klein approach with the fundamental scale early calculated (Eq. 8 [1]) gives an excellent description for the mass spectrum of two-pion system.

1 Introduction

In our previous papers [1, 2] we have presented the arguments in favour of that the Kaluza-Klein picture of the world has been been observed in the experiments at very low energies where the nucleon-nucleon dynamics has been studied. In particular we have found that geniusly simple formula for KK excitations provided by Kaluza-Klein approach gives an excellent description for the mass spectrum of two-nucleon system. Surely, this was quite an event and, certainly, this very nice fact inspired us to study the other two-particle hadronic systems in this respect.

Recently there is a large amount of data concerning resonance states of two-pion system [3, 4]. The modern strong interaction theory formulated in terms of known QCD Lagrangian do not allow us at present time to make an appreciable breakthrough in the problem of calculating the masses of compound systems mainly because this problem is a significantly non-perturbative one. Therefore, great efforts have been undertaken to develop various kinds (semi)phenomenological models for systematics of experimentally observed resonance states.

We have performed an analysis of experimental data on mass spectrum of the resonance states of two-pion system and compared them with Kaluza-Klein picture. The results of this analysis have been presented here.

2 Kaluza-Klein picture and KK excitations in two-pion system

It is well known that the basic idea of the Kaluza-Klein scenario may be applied to any model in Quantum Field Theory (see for the details e.g. the excellent review articles

[5, 6] and many references therein). As example, let us consider the simplest case of (4+d)-dimensional model of scalar field with the action

$$S = \int d^{4+d}z\sqrt{-\mathcal{G}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_M\Phi\right)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}\Phi^2 + \frac{G_{(4+d)}}{4!}\Phi^4\right], \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{G} = \det|\mathcal{G}_{MN}|$, $\mathcal{G}_{MN}$ is the metric on $\mathcal{M}_{(4+d)} = M_4 \times K_d$, M_4 is pseudo-Euclidean Minkowski space-time, K_d is a compact internal d-dimensional space with the characteristic size R. Let Δ_{K_d} be the Laplace operator on the internal space K_d, and $Y_n(y)$ are ortho-normalized eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator

$$\Delta_{K_d}Y_n(y) = -\frac{\lambda_n}{R^2}Y_n(y), \tag{2}$$

and n is a (multi)index labeling the eigenvalue λ_n of the eigenfunction $Y_n(y)$. d-dimensional torus T^d with equal radii R is an especially simple example of the compact internal space of extra dimensions K_d. The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues in this special case look like

$$Y_n(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V_d}}\exp\left(i\sum_{m=1}^{d} n_m y^m/R\right), \tag{3}$$

$$\lambda_n = |n|^2, \quad |n|^2 = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \ldots n_d^2, \quad n = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_d), \quad -\infty \le n_m \le \infty,$$

where n_m are integer numbers, $V_d = (2\pi R)^d$ is the volume of the torus.

To reduce the multidimensional theory to the effective four-dimensional one we wright a harmonic expansion for the multidimensional field $\Phi(z)$

$$\Phi(z) = \Phi(x, y) = \sum_n \phi^{(n)}(x)Y_n(y). \tag{4}$$

The coefficients $\phi^{(n)}(x)$ of the harmonic expansion (4) are called Kaluza-Klein (KK) excitations or KK modes, and they usually include the zero-mode $\phi^{(0)}(x)$, corresponding to $n = 0$ and the eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 0$. Substitution of the KK mode expansion into action (1) and integration over the internal space K_d gives

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\phi^{(0)}\right)^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}(\phi^{(0)})^2 + \frac{g}{4!}(\phi^{(0)})^4 + \right. \tag{5}$$

$$\left. + \sum_{n\neq 0}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\phi^{(n)}\right)\left(\partial^\mu\phi^{(n)}\right)^* - \frac{m_n^2}{2}\phi^{(n)}\phi^{(n)*}\right] + \frac{g}{4!}(\phi^{(0)})^2\sum_{n\neq 0}\phi^{(n)}\phi^{(n)*}\right\} + \ldots.$$

For the masses of the KK modes one obtains

$$m_n^2 = m^2 + \frac{\lambda_n}{R^2}, \tag{6}$$

and the coupling constant g of the four-dimensional theory is related to the coupling constant $G_{(4+d)}$ of the initial multidimensional theory by the equation

$$g = \frac{G_{(4+d)}}{V_d}, \tag{7}$$

where V_d is the volume of the compact internal space of extra dimensions K_d. The fundamental coupling constant $G_{(4+d)}$ has dimension $[\text{mass}]^{-d}$. So, the four-dimensional

coupling constant g is dimensionless one as it should be. Eqs. (6,7) represent the basic relations of Kaluza-Klein scenario. Similar relations take place for other types of multidimensional quantum field theoretical models. From four-dimensional point of view we can interpret each KK mode as a particle with the mass m_n given by Eq. (6). We see that in according with Kaluza-Klein scenario any multidimensional field contains an infinite set of KK modes, i.e. an infinite set of four-dimensional particles with increasing masses, which is called the Kaluza-Klein tower. Therefore, an experimental observation of series KK excitations with a characteristic spectrum of the form (6) would be an evidence of the existence of extra dimensions.

We have applied the main issues of Kaluza-Klein approach to our analysis of the structure of proton-proton total cross section at very low energies and calculated the fundamental scale (size) R of the compact internal extra space. One obtained by this way

$$\frac{1}{R} = 41.481\,\mathrm{MeV} \quad \text{or} \quad R = 24.1\,GeV^{-1} = 4.75\,10^{-13}\mathrm{cm}. \tag{8}$$

It turned out the fundamental scale has a clear physical meaning: This scale corresponds to the scale of distances where the strong Yukawa forces in strength come down to the electromagnetic forces [1]. After that we have built the Kaluza-Klein tower of KK excitations by the formula

$$M_n = 2\sqrt{m_p^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots) \tag{9}$$

and compared it with the observed irregularities in the mass spectrum of diproton system. The result of the comparison has been presented in extended Table 1 of ref. [2]. It was established that the Kaluza-Klein tower of KK excitations built by the calculated fundamental scale was in a good correspondence with the experimentally observed picture of irregularities in the mass spectrum of two-nucleon system.

Now, let us build the Kaluza-Klein tower of KK excitations for two-pion system by the formula

$$M_n^{\pi^1\pi^2} = \sqrt{m_{\pi^1}^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}} + \sqrt{m_{\pi^2}^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots), \tag{10}$$

where $\pi^i (i = 0, +, -) = \pi^0, \pi^+, \pi^-$ and R is the same fundamental scale (Eq. 8) calculated early from the analysis of nucleon-nucleon dynamics at low energies. Kaluza-Klein tower such built is shown in Table 1 where the comparison with experimentally observed mass spectrum of two-pion system is also presented.

We have used Review of Particle Physics [3] and recent review article of Crystal Barrel Collaboration [4] (see also many references therein) where the experimental data on mass spectrum of the resonance states of two-pion system have been extracted from. As it is seen from Table 1, there is a quite remarkable correspondence of the calculated KK excitations for two-pion system with the experimentally observed mass spectrum of the resonance states of two-pion system, which we consider as an additional strong evidence of Kaluza-Klein picture of the world.

Actually, we also see that there are three empty cells in the Table: $M_1(282 - 291)$, $M_2(317 - 325)$ and $M_{13}(1112 - 1114)$. We did not find an experimental confirmation of these states. Probably such two-pion resonance states exist but we don't know these data. Tnat's why any experimental information in this respect would gratefully be acknowledged.[1]

[1]Recently we found the references [9, 10, 11, 12] (some of them is an old enough) which allowed us to fill the cells 1 and 2.

Table 1: Kaluza-Klein tower of KK excitations of two-pion system and experimental data.

n	$M_n^{\pi^0\pi^0} MeV$	$M_n^{\pi^0\pi^\pm} MeV$	$M_n^{\pi^\pm\pi^\pm} MeV$	$M_{exp}^{\pi\pi} MeV$
1	282.41	286.80	291.21	~ 300
2	316.87	320.80	324.73	322 ± 8
3	367.18	370.58	373.98	370 – i356
4	427.78	430.71	433.64	430 – i325
5	494.92	497.45	499.99	506 ± 10
6	566.26	568.48	570.70	585 ± 20
7	640.41	642.38	644.34	650 – i370
8	716.50	718.26	720.01	732 – i123
9	793.96	795.55	797.13	780 ± 30
10	872.44	873.88	875.33	870 – i370
11	951.68	953.00	954.32	955 ± 10
12	1031.50	1032.72	1033.94	1015 ± 15
13	1111.78	1112.92	1114.05	
14	1192.43	1193.49	1194.55	1165 ± 50
15	1273.38	1274.37	1275.36	1275.4 ± 1.2
16	1354.57	1355.50	1356.43	1359 ± 40
17	1435.96	1436.84	1437.72	1434 ± 18
18	1517.53	1518.36	1519.19	1522 ± 25
19	1599.24	1600.02	1600.81	$1593 \pm 8^{+29}_{-47}$
20	1681.07	1681.82	1682.57	1678 ± 12
21	1763.00	1763.72	1764.43	1768 ± 21
22	1845.03	1845.71	1846.40	1854 ± 20
23	1927.14	1927.79	1928.45	1921 ± 8
24	2009.32	2009.94	2010.57	2010 ± 60
25	2091.56	2092.16	2092.76	2086 ± 15
26	2173.85	2174.43	2175.01	2175 ± 20
27	2256.19	2256.75	2257.31	~ 2250
28	2338.58	2339.12	2339.66	~ 2330
29	2421.01	2421.53	2422.05	2420 ± 30
30	2503.47	2503.97	2504.48	2510 ± 30

Some known experimental information concerning resonance states in two-pion system is collected in separate tables: Table 2 – Table 22.

We can learn from these tables that many different two-pion resonances with the different quantum numbers may occupy one and the same storey in KK tower. This is a peculiarity of the systematics provided by Kaluza-Klein picture. Kaluza-Klein scenario in the considered simplest case predict only masses of KK excitations and do not give any information on quantum numbers of corresponding resonance states. The later information on quantum numbers exceptionally depends on the physical process and the details of a dynamics of the given physical process where KK excitations have been observed. In particular, this also concerns the details (geometrical structures and shapes) of generic compact internal extra space[2].

Of course, we would like to especially emphasize with a pleasure that σ-meson ($M_\sigma \simeq 430\,MeV$, see discussion in [4]), $f_2(0^+2^{++})$-mesons ($M_{f_2} = 1272 \pm 8\,MeV$ [7] and $M_{f_2} = 2175 \pm 20\,MeV$ [8]) investigated by IHEP group under direction of Yu.D. Prokoshkin accurately agree with the calculated values and excellently incorporated in the scheme of systematics provided by Kaluza-Klein picture.

3 Conclusion

The central point of Kaluza-Klein approach is related to the existence of a new fundamental scale characterizing a size of compact internal extra space. In previous article [1] we have calculated this fundamental scale and shown that geniusly simple formula (9) provided by Kaluza-Klein approach gives an excellent description for the mass spectrum of two-nucleon system [2]. It has also been established that the experimental data obtained at low energies where the nucleon-nucleon dynamics has been studied reveal a special sort of (super)symmetry between fermionic(dibaryonic) and bosonic states predicted by Kaluza-Klein scenario.

In this note we have presented additional arguments in favour of Kaluza and Klein picture of the world. In fact, we have shown that formula (10) provided by Kaluza-Klein approach with the fundamental scale early calculated (Eq. 8) gives an excellent description for the mass spectrum of two-pion system.

Of course, it would be very desirable to state new experiments to search a further justification of the systematics provided by Kaluza and Klein picture of the world. We believe that this is a quite promising subject of the investigations in particle and nuclear physics.

References

[1] A.A. Arkhipov, hep-ph/0208215 (2002); preprint IHEP 2002-43, Protvino, 2002, available at http://dbserv.ihep.su/~pubs/prep2002/ps/2002-43.pdf

[2] A.A. Arkhipov, hep-ph/0302164 (2003).

[3] D.E. Groom et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, Eur. Phys. J. C**15** 405, 417-487 (2000).

[4] V.V. Anisovich, hep-ph/0208123 (2002).

[2] In the case of generic compact internal extra space KK excitations are generally degenerated.

[5] V.A. Rubakov, *Large and infinite extra dimensions*, Sov. J. Uspekhi **171**, 913 (2001); e-print hep-ph/0104152.

[6] Yu.A. Kubyshin, *Models with Extra Dimensions and Their Phenomenology*, e-print hep-ph/0111027.

[7] A.A. Kondashov, Yu.D. Prokoshkin, Physics–Doklady, **336**, 613 (1994).

[8] Yu.D. Prokoshkin, Physics–Doklady, **344**, 469 (1995).

[9] C. Bebek et al. (CLEO Collab.), Phys. Rev. D**43**, 1448 (1991).

[10] A. Abashian, N.E. Booth, K.M. Crowe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **5**, 258 (1960), *ibid.* **7**, 35 (1961).

[11] B. Richter, Phys. Rev. Lett. **9**, 217 (1962).

[12] J. Banaigs et al., Nucl. Phys. B**67**, 1 (1973).

Table 2: $M_1(282-291)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
	~ 300	~ 300	$\Upsilon(3S) \to \pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(2(1)S)$	CLEO 91

Table 3: $M_2(317-325)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
"ABC"(0^+0^{++})	310 ± 10	~ 25	$pd \to He^3(\pi\pi)^0$	[10] 60
	322 ± 8	≤ 20	$\gamma p \to p$"ABC"	[11] 62
	300–365	45–75	$dp \to He^3(\pi\pi)^0$	[12] 73

Table 4: $M_{11}(952-954)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	955 ± 10	240 ± 60	$pp \to pp\pi^0\pi^0$	GAM2 97

Table 5: $M_{12}(1032-1034)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	1015 ± 15	86 ± 16	COMPILATION	RVUE 98
	~ 1015	~ 30	$\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\bar{K}$	RVUE 95

Table 6: $M_{14}(1192-1195)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	1165 ± 50	460 ± 40	$\pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$	RVUE 95

Table 7: $M_{15}(1273-1275)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_2(0^+2^{++})$	1275 ± 13	173 ± 53	$\pi^+ n \to p\pi^+\pi^-$	HBC 70
	1276 ± 7		$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\pi^+\pi^-$	DLCO 84
	1274 ± 5		$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	DM2 87
	1272 ± 8	192 ± 5	$\pi^- p \to n\pi^0\pi^0$	GAM2 94
	1275.4 ± 1.2	$156.9\,^{+3.8}_{-1.3}$	AVERAGE	PDG 00

Table 8: $M_{16}(1355-1356)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	1315 ± 50	255 ± 60	$pp \to pp\pi^0\pi^0$	GAM4 99
$\rho(1^+1^{--})$	1348 ± 33	275 ± 10	$\bar{n}p \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	OBLX 98
$\rho(1^+1^{--})$	1359 ± 40	310 ± 40	$\bar{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	OBLX 97
$\rho(1^+1^{--})$	$1370\,^{+90}_{-70}$		$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$	RVUE 97

Table 9: $M_{17}(1435-1438)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	1420 ± 20	460 ± 50	$pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$	SPEC 86
	$1434 \pm 18 \pm 9$	$173 \pm 32 \pm 6$	$D_s^+ \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^+$	E791 01
$f_2(0^+2^{++})$	1421 ± 5	30 ± 9	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	DM2 87
	1480 ± 50	150 ± 50	$pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$	SPEC 86
$\rho(1^+1^{--})$	1424 ± 25	269 ± 31	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$	DM2 89

Table 10: $M_{18}(1518-1520)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	1522 ± 25	108 ± 33	$\bar{n}p \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	OBLX 98
	1497 ± 30	199 ± 30	$pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$	OMEG 95
	1502 ± 10	131 ± 15	$pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$	OMEG 99
	1530 ± 45	160 ± 50	$pp \to pp\pi^0\pi^0$	GAM4 99
	1580 ± 80	280 ± 100	$\pi^-p \to \pi^0\pi^0n$	GAM4 98
$f_2(0^+2^{++})$	1507 ± 15	130 ± 20	$\bar{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	OBLX 97
	1540 ± 15	132 ± 37	$\bar{n}p \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	OBLX 92
$f_2'(0^+2^{++})$	1502 ± 25	165 ± 42	$\pi^-p \to \pi^+\pi^-n$	OMEG 79

Table 11: $M_{19}(1599-1601)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$\pi_1(1^-1^{-+})$	$1593 \pm 8^{+29}_{-47}$	$168 \pm 60^{+150}_{-12}$	$\pi^-p \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$	MPS 98
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	1580 ± 80	280 ± 100	$\pi^-p \to \pi^0\pi^0n$	GAM4 98

Table 12: $M_{20}(1681-1683)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$\rho_3(1^+3^{--})$	1677 ± 14	246 ± 37	$\pi^-p \to 2\pi p$	OMEG 81
	1679 ± 11	116 ± 30	$\pi^+p \to \pi^+\pi^-n$	HBC 78
	1678 ± 12	162 ± 50	$\pi^-p \to p3\pi$	CIBS 77
	1690 ± 7	167 ± 40	$\pi^+n \to \pi^+\pi^-p$	DBC 74
	1693 ± 8	200 ± 18	$\pi^-p \to \pi^+\pi^-n$	ASPK 74
	1678 ± 12	156 ± 36	π^+N	DBC 71
	1692 ± 12	240 ± 30	$\pi^-p \to \pi^+\pi^-n$	RVUE 75
	1650 ± 35	180 ± 30	$\pi^-p \to N2\pi$	HBC 70
	1687 ± 21	267^{+72}_{-46}	π^-p, π^+d	HDBC 70
	1683 ± 13	188 ± 49	π^+d	DBC 68
	1670 ± 30	180 ± 40	π^+d, π^-p	HBC 65
	1688.8 ± 2.1	186 ± 14	AVERAGE	PDG 00

Table 13: $M_{21}(1763-1764)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$\rho(1^+1^{--})$	1780^{+37}_{-29}	275 ± 45	$\bar{p}n \to \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	CBAR 97
	1730 ± 30	400 ± 100	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$	RVUE 94
	1768 ± 21	224 ± 22	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$	DM2 89
	1745.7 ± 91.9	242.5 ± 163	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$	RVUE 89
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	1740^{+30}_{-25}	120^{+50}_{-40}	$J/\psi \to \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$	BES 00
	1750 ± 20	160 ± 30	$pp \to pp\pi^+\pi^-$	OMEG 99
	1750 ± 15	160 ± 40	$J/\psi \to \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$	MRK3 95
	1750 ± 30	250 ± 140	COMPILATION	RVUE 98

Table 14: $M_{22}(1845-1846)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$\eta_2(0^+2^{-+})$	1840 ± 25	200 ± 40	$pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	OMEG 97
	$1881 \pm 32 \pm 40$	$221 \pm 92 \pm 44$	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\eta\pi^0\pi^0$	CBAL 92
	1840 ± 15	170 ± 40	$J/\psi \to \gamma\eta\pi^+\pi^-$	BES 99
	1854 ± 20	202 ± 30	AVERAGE	PDG 00

Table 15: $M_{23}(1927-1928)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$X(0^+2^{++})$	1920 ± 10	90 ± 20	$\pi^-p \to \omega\omega n$	VES 92
	1924 ± 14	91 ± 50	$\pi^-p \to \omega\omega n$	GAM2 90
	1921 ± 8	90 ± 19	AVERAGE	PDG 00
$f_2(0^+2^{++})$	1918 ± 12	390 ± 60	$pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	OMEG 95
	1940 ± 50	380^{+120}_{-90}	$J/\psi \to \gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$	BES 00
	1960 ± 30	460 ± 40	$pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	OMEG 97
$f_4(0^+4^{++})$	1935 ± 13	263 ± 57	$\pi^-p \to n2\pi$	OMEG 79

Table 16: $M_{24}(2009-2011)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_2(0^+2^{++})$	∼1996	∼134	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94
	∼1990	∼100	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94
	2010 ± 60	240 ± 100	$\pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$	GAM4 98
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	2010 ± 60	240 ± 100	$\pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$	GAM4 98
	2020 ± 35	410 ± 50	$pp \to pp2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	OMEG 97
$f_4(0^+4^{++})$	1998 ± 15	395 ± 40	$\pi^- p \to \pi^0\pi^0 n$	GAM4 98
	2032 ± 30	304 ± 60	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	DM2 87
	2020 ± 20	240 ± 40	$\pi^- p \to n2\pi$	GAM2 84
	2015 ± 28	186^{+103}_{-58}	$\pi^+ p \to \Delta^{++}\pi^0\pi^0$	STRC 82
	2020 ± 30	180 ± 60	$\pi^- p \to n2\pi^0$	NICE 75
	∼2000	∼170	$N\bar{N} \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 98
	∼2010	∼200	$N\bar{N} \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 97
	2034 ± 11	222 ± 19	AVERAGE	PDG 00
$\rho_3(1^+3^{--})$	∼2007	∼287	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94

Table 17: $M_{25}(2091-2093)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_4(0^+4^{++})$	2086 ± 15	210 ± 63	$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^+\pi^-$	MRK3 87
$\rho_3(1^+3^{--})$	∼2090	~ 60	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94

Table 18: $M_{26}(2174-2175)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_2(0^+2^{++})$	2175 ± 20	150 ± 35	$pp \to pp2\eta$	GAM4 95
	~ 2170	∼250	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 80
$\rho(1^+1^{--})$	~ 2170	~ 250	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 80
	~ 2191	~ 296	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94
	2153 ± 37	389 ± 79	$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-$	RVUE 91
$\rho_3(1^+3^{--})$	~ 2150	~ 200	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	CNTR 77

Table 19: $M_{27}(2256-2257)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_2(0^+2(4)^{++})$	2246 ± 36		$J/\psi \to \gamma\pi^0\pi^0$	BES 98
$\rho_3(1^+3^{--})$	~ 2250	~ 250	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 80
	~ 2232	~ 220	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94
$\rho_5(1^+5^{--})$	~ 2250	~ 300	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 80

Table 20: $M_{28}(2339-2340)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_0(0^+0^{++})$	~ 2321	~ 223	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94
$f_4(0^+4^{++})$	~ 2314	~ 278	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94
	~ 2300	~ 200	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 80
	~ 2330	~ 300	$\bar{p}p \to \pi^0\pi^0$	OSPK 78
	~ 2310	~ 200	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	CNTR 77
$\rho_5(1^+5^{--})$	~ 2303	~ 169	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 94
	~ 2300	~ 250	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	RVUE 80

Table 21: $M_{29}(2421-2422)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_6(0^+6^{++})$	2420 ± 30	270 ± 60	$\pi^-p \to \pi^0\pi^0n$	GAM4 98

Table 22: $M_{30}(2503-2504)$–Storey.

$R(I^GJ^{PC})$	$M_R\,MeV$	$\Gamma_R\,MeV$	Reaction	Collab.
$f_6(0^+6^{++})$	2510 ± 30	240 ± 60	$\pi^-p \to \pi^0\pi^0n$	GAM2 84
$\rho_5(1^+5^{--})$	$\sim$2480	~ 210	$\bar{p}p \to \pi\pi$	CNTR 77